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Public Key a.k.a. Asymmetric Encryption

- 2 Keys used
  - 1 Public Key – Made publicly known
  - 1 Private Key – Carefully Protected

- May Encrypt with either key (Public or Private)

- Decrypt with the opposite key used for encryption
Symmetric Encryption a.k.a. Shared Secret

- Encrypt and Decrypt with same key

Problems
- Have to securely pre-negotiate a shared secret
- Sender can also decrypt messages.
- Security depends on the strength of the secret
- Need a unique secret for each communication path

Benefits
- Symmetric Encryption is about 100 - 1000 times faster than Asymmetric Encryption
The Best of Both Worlds
Hybrid A/Symmetric Encrypt.

Combine the Best of Public Key
with the Best of Symmetric Encryption

2. Start off with PK to avoid a shared Secret
3. Use PK to authenticate parties
   (May be either Party or Both)
4. Generate a one time random session key
5. Share the session key via PK
6. Switch to Symmetric Encryption for better
   performance, using the shared session key
Hybrid A/Symmetric Encryption

- Common Reoccurring Design Pattern
  Used in
  - SSL
  - IPSec
  - SSH
  - S/MIME

And …
  - PGP
PGP E-mail Encryption

1. Document is compressed
2. Random Session Key generated
3. Document is encrypted (symmetric) with the session key
4. Session Key is encrypted with the public key of every recipient.
5. Encrypted Key(s) and Document converted to ASCII Armor (RFC 2015)
Digital Signatures

Digital signature is applied prior to encryption

1. Generate a Secure Hash of the entire document.
2. Secure Hash is kind of like a check-sum, but much better as it is not easily calculated in reverse.
3. The Secure Hash is encrypted with the signers private key.
4. Anyone with public key can decrypt the hash
5. Decrypted Hash is compared against recalculated hash to verify that the document hasn’t been modified.
6. Provides non-repudiation as well as integrity.
Algorithm Details

- PGP like IPSec and SSL is really a collection or suite of Algorithms
- Choices of Algorithms (RSA & DH/DSS)
- Choices of Symmetric Ciphers
- Choices of key lengths
- Added Info included in the message
- Also included with the keys
PGP Keys -- What’s in a key

- **ID** – Unique Identifier (0xDB93230C)
- **Type** – Algorithm Used (RSA or DH/DSS)
- **Size** – Key size in bits (usually 1024 and up)
- **Created** – Date Key was created.
- **Expire** – Date the Key expires
- **Cipher** – Symmetric Algorithm (CAST, IDEA, 3DES, AES-128 & AES-256)
- **Finger Print** – Secure Hash of the key
  (Good for validating key)
- **Validity** – Valid only if signed
- **Trust** – Implies auto-trust of other keys signed
Key Management
Getting Keys

Getting a key is easy -- Via:

- E-mail
- Key Servers e.g. wwwkeys.us.pgp.net
- Off from a web site
- PDA transfer
- Most any way a file can be received
Key Management

Trusting Keys

Methods of Validation

- Face to Face with Photo ID (best verification)
- Phone Call and read the Key Fingerprint (Good if you know the person)
- Get key from multiple sources and verify (Good for verifying signatures from on downloaded files.)

Signing the key ensure its integrity
PGP File Formats

- **ASCII Armor** - for encrypted e-mail and preferred for key exchanges.
- **Clear Text Signed** – Text with in-line PGP signature
- **8 bit Binary** - default for file encryption for both GnuPG and pgp.com
- **Detached Signed** – Signature store in separate file (may be in ASCII or binary format).

All formats have good interoperability across platforms. Even key rings are surprisingly portable.
Sample Key

ASCII Armor Format

-----BEGIN PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----
Version: PGP 8.0

mQGiBDrtP1xURBAkFDhi5Aw9XQ2ULmCZne7aY4VlV2Iqvw8lkDZvVe+TbSE1sHu8qB
1IBqQETXCM4H3c/jdJyqR+He4J7R3rfy/f/uAJhKyI5oklr+r43ardotsvvXoFXb
7qaQMrw5H81PINAHzUey86kNem1ewwSnrkicdRWOTuAokZJhGiC1U3cEUrwCg/9yJ
imM0duXdVTk01Jy8BE6Lt9sEAMSHELGAdWz4sW3Mdc9KkvXDrWErY3SKTcLxpJ8B1
YTvHr7S+n66VK4mEziyrcTL4vGOWGpeKI+ga17fe/swYNz3THplJhwX3cvPI/37d
2Gqdie55qbYNy0gA01oSLGWRqUc4nGkuwxA83uEwLHNRAIr/S+2gPRKWhxdCPN1T
3NR/A/934ervK95h+HtJwMmDopiPKlim3q4Tu0oJSp/10khBGUlrXHQJoKUXCDNb

... iEYEGBECAAYFAjrP1xUAcgkQLNgy0NuTIwxlzgCg0f031ddKPR0kmZPGnq+etlia
sgMAn0IQlK4flhVqi29OMw9jIeDo+FDR
=abq0
-----END PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----
PGP E-Mail Formats

PGP In-line – ASCII Armor or clear text signed
- Recommended for e-mail without an attachment
- Simplest format, just another message
- Most compatible with MS Windows Mail Clients

PGP/MIME - RFC 1847, 2015, 2440 & 3156
- Encapsulates the message and all attachments into single verifiable MIME.
- Most compatible with Unix Mail Clients
- Most Reliable due to encapsulation
Sample Clear Text Signed Format

Subject: SANS NewsBites Vol. 6 Num. 14
To: Ralph Durkee (SD1168) <rd@rd1.net>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

SANS NewsBites April 7, 2004 Vol. 6, Num. 14

TOP OF THE NEWS

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.3 (Darwin)
iD8DBQFAc/CG+LUG5KFpTkYRAPmaAJ4vVBY62P9Xv9AweD2rrJvH8qjnoQCdE03x
NKG7+JbxZQ4OGe62FLBupRI=
=kXtD
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
Sample PGP/MIME

----
Content-Type: application/pgp-encrypted
Version: 1
----
Content-Type: application/octet-stream

------BEGIN PGP MESSAGE------
Version: GnuPG v1.0.6 (FreeBSD)
Comment: For info see http://www.gnupg.org

qANQR1DBwU4D9+nmRMAIsHMQCAC6ne2TmKp0fX2fKDMG00c07aAvzLZYRUcrQgHg...
F82Z0qFvv3HKHMifQBOXNPM=/4GH
------END PGP MESSAGE------

-----
PGP More E-Mail Formats

Third Format -- Separate encrypted Attachments
  - Each encrypted separately
  - Message body may be encrypted in-line and/or as an attachment.
  - Doesn’t prevent tampering by removing or adding attachments.

MS Outlook always sends PGP w/ attachments separately, body is in-line and as an rtf attachment.

Eudora prompts with warning that PGP/MIME is not compatible with some email clients.
## Default File extensions by Platform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Format</th>
<th>GnuPG</th>
<th>PGP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASCII Armored</td>
<td>.asc</td>
<td>.asc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binary Encrypted</td>
<td>.gpg</td>
<td>.pgp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detached Signatures</td>
<td>.sig</td>
<td>.sig</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# PGP enabled Unix Mail Clients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client</th>
<th>PGP/In-line</th>
<th>PGP/MIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mutt</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ximian Evolution</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylpheed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac GPGMail</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozilla Thundbird with Enigmail</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kmail</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client</td>
<td>PGP/In-line</td>
<td>PGP/MIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS Outlook</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eudora</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PGP usages in Applications

Application to User
- Typically just encrypted or signed e-mail
- Example generated and e-mailed SANS newsletter

Application to Application
- Typically Encrypted and signed
- FTP, HTTP – Encrypted files generated and transferred for data processing
- Web Services – encryption and signing for specific sensitive content.
PGP usages in Applications

🌟 User to Application

✦ **E-mail example**: PGP encrypted & signed e-mail opens access to an SSH port.

✦ **HTTPS to E-mail example**: forms receives information over SSL, PGP encrypts and e-mails to user or to an application
Benefits of PGP for Application usage

- Sending Application only has public key for encryption
- Once original clear-text information is securely removed, information can not be obtained from sending application.
- Works best for message based application
- May not be suitable for where high volume of information is exchanged and high performance is required.
GnuPG Made Easy (GPGME)

- New GnuPG library for application usage.
- Intended to make Application integration easier.
- Mostly used by Mail User Agents currently
- Expected to help in future development of PGP-enabled-application
HTPS-PGP

Example of PGP integration

- Form receives sensitive information over HTTPS
- Rather than stored, the posted information is PGP encrypt and e-mail to an application (or a user)
- Doesn’t provide end-to-end encryption since it is re-encrypted
- Advantages, simple to implement and provides for distributed application.
Example Implementation

Perl web form piped to gpg (GnuPG) command line piped to mail.

GnuPG options useful for applications

- `-e` or `--encrypt`
- `-s` or `--sign`
- `-r` or `--recipient`
- `-a` or `--armour` (ASCII rather than binary)
- `--batch` (don’t use interactive questions)
- `--no-tty` (don’t output to the terminal)
- `--quiet` (suppress messages)
PGP usage for Example

- PGP Public key of recipient application must be readable by web server user id.
- Public key and key-ring should not be writable.
- Private key for recipient application should not exist on the front-end web application.
- Clear-text private key is needed for signing by the web server. Helps recipient validate message.
- From address for e-mail should be web server, not the user posting the message.
Threats, Risk
Security Controls

- May be appropriate to allow unauthenticated access to https form
- Be prepared for malicious abuse of the web form either way
- Use a Security hardened platform
- Follow Operating System and Web Server security best practice guidelines such as Center for Internet Security (www.CISecurity.org)
- Consider OWASP top 10 vulnerabilities and guidelines
Carefully Validate All Input

- Check for unexpected input field names
- Check maximum length for field values
- Check for non-ASCII values in input fields
- Check for unexpected ASCII values in input fields.
- Limit number of field and total post length
- Is the server vulnerable to HTTP header be manipulated
- preset key environment variables (like PATH) to expected values.
Other Risks

Access controls
- Is form accessible via http as well?
- Can the HTTP get method be used?
- If authentication is required, can it be by-passed?

XSS – May or may not be an issue depending on back-end processing

Injection flaws
- Ensure input can not affect the processing by perl, shell or gpg
- Input should only be processed as data piped to gpg.
Discussion

🌟 Any remaining Questions?
🌟 Thought questions:

☀️ What are the weakness of PGP?
☀️ What attacks are likely if someone wanted to decipher PGP encrypted e-mail?
☀️ Is a Man-in-the-middle attack possible?
☀️ If not why not, or if so how could it be prevented?
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